

Subordinators as Interactional Resources: A study on Stand-Alone Adverbial Clauses in Japanese Conversation

Daisuke Yokomori
Kyoto University

*An International Workshop on Linguistics of BA and The 11th
Korea-Japan Workshop on Linguistics and Language Processing
Dec. 10-11, 2011 Waseda University*

Outline

- Introduction:
 - What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?
 - What are problems with Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?
- Data & Methodology
- Analyses: Prominent interactional patterns
- Discussion: Subordinators as Interactional Resources
- Concluding remarks

What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?

What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?

- “Canonical” structure of Sentences featuring Adverbial clauses

[adverbial clause]-**subordinator**, [main clause]

[高い]**から**、

[It's expensive]-**kara**,

[買えません]

[we can't buy it].

[体は小さい]**けど**、

[He is small]-**kedo**,

[力は強い]

[he is strong].

What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?

- A subordinator, or *setsuzoku-joshi* (接続助詞) is attached **at the end of one clause** and **marks it as an adverbial clause**

–Kara

- Marks a clause as **evidence/rationale** for its main clause

–Kedo

- Marks a clause as **contrastive and backgrounded information** with respect to its main clause

What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?

- Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses
 - Occur without their main clauses
 - Appear frequently in Conversation

What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?

- Example: Stand-alone Kara Clause

1 → B: =.h あたし(>ちよと<), 十一月と十二月さ:,
I, well, during December and November,

2 A: うん.
Uh-huh

3 → B: 先輩と一緒に住むから.
...am gonna live with Sempai -kara.

4 (0.7)

5 A: は?
What?

(CF1684)

What are Stand-alone Adverbial Clauses?

- Example: Stand-alone Kedo Clause

1 B: あ:そうなんだ.
Oh, really.

2 A: [そう.]
yeah

3 → B: [ポールは]なんか受かったって聞いたんだけど.
(I) heard that Paul passed some audition -kedo.

4 A: え, ポール:?.
Paul?

(CF1684)

What are problems with SACs?

What are problems with SACs?

- Syntactically incomplete but functionally complete
 - Cf. Independent use of subordinate clauses
 - Widely reported in various languages in the world
 - Called “insubordination” (Evans, 2007)
- Unique pragmatic effects
 - Seemingly irreducible to lexical properties of subordinators (Ohori, 1995; Takahashi, 1993)
- Previous studies tend to treat SAC as a distinct construction from “canonical” complex sentence featuring *kara/kedo*.
 - Multiple functions in each linguistic form?

What are problems with SACs?

- In **real-time conversation**, we can't tell whether the AC is used as **a part of a complex construction** or as **a stand-alone construction** at the point which it is produced

((Talking about smell of Kendo uniforms))

1 A: すごい酸っぱい[香りがしそう] *Sounds like it smells sour.*

2 B: [あ::] *yeah.*

3 C: [すごいよね] = *It surely does.*

4 C: =えでもあたし酸っぱいハ- (0.2) 香りってよくわかんないんだけど。
But I don't quite know what "sour smell" is like -kedo.

5 → (1.0)

6 C: 酸っ[ぱいの:]? *Is it sour?*

7 B: [う:]ん *Well, (chiba0332)*

What are problems with SACs?

- Research Questions
 - How can we capture the pragmatic effects of stand-alone *kara/kedo* clauses?
 - How do stand-alone *kara/kedo* clauses relate to “canonical” usages of *kedo/kara* in complex sentence?

Data & Methodology

Data

- Recordings of naturally-occurring, everyday conversations among native speakers of Japanese
- Three data sources
 - Corpus constructed by the author
 - “CallFriend” Corpus (MacWhinny, 2007)
 - “Chiba-U Three Party Conversation Corpus” (Den & Enomoto, 2007)
- 18 hours in total

Methodology

- Qualitative Analysis
 - Using several findings from studies in Conversation Analysis as analytic tools
- Find prominent patterns
 - Patterns of **interactional sequence**
 - Patterns of **co-occurring linguistic element** (as **indices of the interactional context**)

Analysis (1): Stand-alone *kara* clauses

Stand-alone *kara* clause

- Prominent patterns
 - Offering explanation answering to participants' puzzlement
 - Correction of other participants' wrong assumption
 - Making announcement with a large epistemic gap

Offering explanation answering to participants' puzzlement

- Pattern of **interactional sequence**
[participants' puzzlement is manifested]
↓
[**explanation**]-*kara*

Offering explanation answering to participants' puzzlement

- 1 A: 7時- 7時半で予約してんのに (0.5) けえへん.
Although (I) reserve (seats) at 7 or 7:30, (she) doesn't show up.
- 2 (0.4)
- 3 B: hahahaha
- 4 E: hahahah
- 5 C: お:::: WOW.
- 6 (0.5)
- 7 → B: 営業は↑^ね_ 残業がつきものです**から**. ((gaze at C))
*For sales people, you know, it is usual to work overtime **-kara**.*
- 8 C: う::::ん. Hmmmmm
- 9 D: う::::ん. Hmmmmm (Three Couples)

Correction of other participants' assumption

- Pattern of **interactional sequence**

[other participants' some assumption is manifested]



[contradicting information]-*kara*

- Pattern of **co-occurring linguistics elements**

- Frequently prefaced by *lya* (token of resisting to recipients' presupposition; cf. Kushida & Hayashi, 2000)
- Can be paraphrased using particle *tte* without significant difference

Correction of other participants' assumption

- 1 A: 「げろの匂い[がす [る]ってゆった]ときさ, 向こうの[:,
When you said "it smells like vomit,"
- 2 C: [uhhh[huh
- 3 B: [uhuhu] [.hh
- 4 A: .hh 方で店員さんが, いきなり包丁研ぎ始める°(の)°。「シュツ」
A staff in the kitchen starts sharpening a knife, like "Shhuh"
- 5 (0.2)
- 6 C: ha[hahaha]
- 7 A: [「シュツ」] "Shhuh"
- 8 (.)
- 9 →B: **いやい[や. そんなホラーな, [話じゃないか[ら.**
No no, it's not such a horror story -kara.
- 10 A: [「シュツ」 [「シュツ」 [「シュツ」
"Shhuh" "Shhuh" "Shhuh" (chiba0432)
21

Announcement with a large epistemic gap

- Pattern of **interactional sequence**

(no preceding “target” of the stand-alone kara clause)



[announcement]-*kara*



[display of interest/concern]



[storytelling]

- Pattern of **co-occurring linguistics elements**

- Frequently **1st person pronouns**, which are syntactically optional in Japanese, are explicated in utterance initial position

Announcement with a large epistemic gap

- 1 B: 電話した? = 前.
(*Did you call (me)? The other day.*)
- 2 A: (だ) Bさんとこもした. あたしはBさんところにはメッセージのこさな- =
(*I also called you. I didn't leave you a message--*)
- 3 =先輩とこも残さなかったの: =
(*I didn't leave Sempai (a message), neither.*)
- 4 B: =は:ん. OK.
- 5 A: どっちも:, = Both.
- 6 B: =あ:ん. OK.
- 7 A: .hでも:, たいがいちょっとあれだな: と思って[:]
(*But (I started to) feel it's a bit awkward, so,*)
- 8 B: [うん.] uh-huh
- 9 A: anghah[もういいや. メッセージでも,] =一つでも残しとこうとか[思って,]
(*"All right, (I) try to leave just a message"*)
- 10a B: [ちょっと残してみた?] Left a bit?

Announcement with a large epistemic gap

- 10b B: [本当:?] >どうも[どうも.]< = *Really? Thanks.*
- 11 A: [hh]
- 12 → B: =.h あたし(>ちよと<), 十一月と十二月さ:,
I, well, during December and November,
- 13 A: うん. *Uh-huh*
- 14 → B: 先輩と一緒に住むから. *...am gonna live with Sempai -kara.*
- 15 (0.7)
- 16 A: hは:? ((with aspirated voice quality)) *What?*
- 17 B: ってかね, クミチャンがさ, ナルミンとこいっちゃったんだよもう.
I mean, Kumi-chan left to Narumi's place
- 18 A: あ:::やっぱり:? *Oh, I expected it.*
- 19 B: う:ん. *Yeah.*
- 20 A: (0.2) h::m
- 21 B: <だか^ら>:, .hhhh あ, 今はまた別の人が住んでんのね:?あそこに:..
SO, .hhh different person lives there now, you know.
- 22 A: うん *uh-huh.* (CF1684)

Stand-alone *kara* clause

- Prominent patterns
 - Offering explanation answering to participants' puzzlement
 - Correction of other participants' wrong assumption
 - Making announcement with a large epistemic gap

Analysis (2): Stand-alone *kedo* clauses

Stand-alone *kedo* clause

- Prominent patterns
 - “Response Cry” against “deviance from expectations”
 - Soliciting further description/explanation
 - Consulting about a present problem

“Response Cry” against “deviance from expectations”

- Pattern of **interactional sequence**

[some event/state is deviant from speaker's expectation]



[describing the event/state]-*kedo*



[attention from people co-present]

- Pattern of **co-occurring linguistics elements**

- Prefaced by **disjunction marker** (e.g. え、でも)

- Occur with **Intensifiers** (e.g. まじ、超、めっちゃ)⁸

“Response Cry” against “deviance from expectations”

- 1 G: ((drops a cutlery basket from their table))
- 2 B: ((Walking back from the entrance of the restaurant))
ていうか土[砂降り↑じゃ↓ない?]
I mean, isn't it raining awfully hard?
- 3 G: [eh heh heh heh]
- 4 E: かなり, ^すごいよ.= *Pretty awful.*
- 5 G: =失礼いたしました. hh ((mimicking a voice of a restaurant staff))
So sorry about that.
- 6 → B: ((Walking back to her seat)) え, まじ土砂降りなんだけど.
Wow, it's really raining awfully hard -kedo.
- 7 E: (0.3)すごい[よ:?] *It is.*
- 8 B: [^す]んごい土砂降り. ((Sitting down))
It's raining awfully hard.
- 9 E: (0.8) [ほんとすごいよ?] *It surely is.*
- 10 B: [>ありがと<ございま]:[す.] ((Passing a card back to G))
Thank you very much.

Soliciting further description/explanation

- Pattern of **interactional sequence**

[topic talk on “B-event”] (Labov & Fanshel, 1977)

↓

[Hearsay/Evaluation]-*kedo*

↓

[further description/explanation]

- Pattern of **co-occurring linguistics elements**
 - Hearsay-verb
 - subjective/evaluative predicate

Soliciting further description/explanation

- 1 A: ナショナルツアーにも受かってんのよ:. (0.3) [あの人.]
Passed an audition for a national tour. She.
- 2 B: [だれ:?] *Who?*
- 3 A: (0.2) だいい- アイビー. *Ivy.*
- 4 B: (0.7) あ. スゴい[ね : _] *Oh, awesome.*
- 5 A: [だから]二月もニューヨークにリハーサルで=
=来るしもちろん, 四月からロスで, (0.2) オープンする^し:;
*So, (she) will come to N.Y. for her rehearsal in February and
(the show) will start in L.A. April.*
- 6 B: (0.4) あ:そうなんだ. *Oh, really.*
- 7 A: [そう.] *yeah*
- 8 → B: [ポールは]なんか受かったって聞いたんだけど.
(I) heard that Paul passed some audition -kedo.
- 9 A: え, ポール:?. *Paul?*
- 10 B: うん. *Yeah.*

Soliciting further description/explanation

11 A: なにに:. *Which one?*

12 B: ニューヨーク. *N.Y.*

13 (0.9)

14 B: に行くって私は引越し[するって聞いたよ:?)
I heard he is moving to N.Y.

15 A: [<それがあ]なた行くと>本人も言ったのよ:.
Yeah, he SAID so.

.hh(ちゃ)この間ダニエルに電話したらさ:, .h[h]「Aちゃ:ん,=
(But), when I called Daniel, he said

16 B: [うん.] *yeah*

17 A: =.h 僕とポールはオーシャンドームに戻ることを考えています」だって.
"Listen, Paul and I are thinking to go back to the Ocean Dome"

(CF1684)

Consulting about a present problem

- Pattern of **interactional sequence**
[asymmetry in responsibility on a topic become evident]
↓
[describing a present problem]-*kedo*
↓
[coping with a problem / account for not do so]
- Pattern of **co-occurring linguistics elements**
 - Prefaced by **vocative expressions** (e.g. ねえねえ、すみません)

Consulting about a present problem

- 1 C: う: ^お:,(.) やばいこれ. (0.5) >どうしよ<.
Ooops! No! What should we do?
- 2 D: (0.5) あ::, 結構いってる? *Oh, is it quite bad?*
- 3 C: (.)いっちゃったいっちゃった. (0.8) あこれやばいやばい.
Bad, bad. Oh, this is terrible.
- 4 (0.7)
- 5 F: [^ん:]. *Yeah.*
- 6 B: [s :]し[み ?] Spot?
- 7 E: [>すませ]ん[ちよと< ^このへんも]:, ワインが,
Excuse me, here too, wine is a bit spilled -kedo.
- 8 (0.7)
- 9 E: こぼれちゃったんすけど.
- 10 D: (0.3) huh hu hh ((looking at around E's glass))
- 11 F: [^ん:].

Consulting about a present problem

12 (1.1)

13 C: あ, あ. *Oh, oh.*

14 (2.0) ((E is wiping table. D is looking at him.))

15 D: もうね:, やっぱここ, (.) h人が住んでる家なんでね:この:,
You know, this house is owned by someone else, so,

16 (0.5)

17 B: 確か[に][ね:?]
Right.

Stand-alone *kedo* clause

- Prominent patterns
 - “Response Cry” against “deviance from expectations”
 - Soliciting further description/explanation
 - Consulting about a present problem

Discussion: Subordinators as Interactional Resources

Subordinators as Interactional Resources

- Markings by subordinators

- *Kara*

- Marks a clause as **evidence/rationale** for its main clause

- > indexing that **the speaker can draw some conclusion based on that information**

- *Kedo*

- Marks a clause as **contrastive and backgrounded information** with respect to its main clause

- > indexing that the information as **contrastive and backgrounded**

Claiming Absolute Epistemic Primacy

- Kara: indexing that **the speaker can draw some conclusion based on that information**

=> Resource for **claiming the speaker's Absolute Epistemic Primacy** over the recipient

- The speaker knows about that matter **much better than** the recipient.
- The speaker **knows rightly**, while the recipient's assumption is wrong.

Claiming Absolute Epistemic Primacy

- Claiming the speaker's Absolute Epistemic Primacy over the recipient
 - Offering explanation answering to participants' puzzlement
 - Correction of other participants' wrong assumption
 - Making announcement with a large epistemic gap

Contrasting speaker's cognition against recipients' cognition

- Kedo: indexing the information as contrastive and backgrounded

=> Resource for **contrasting the speaker's cognitive state against the recipients' cognitive state**

Contrasting speaker's cognition against recipients' cognition

- **Contrasting the speaker's cognitive state
against the recipients' cognitive state**
 - “Response Cry” against “deviance from expectations”
 - Soliciting further description/explanation
 - Consulting about a present problem

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

- Japanese subordinators such as *kara* and *kedo* are also used as **utterance final particles**
 - Lexical properties of *kara/kedo* are **employed to achieve various interactional jobs**
- => **Subordinators as Interactional Resources**

Thank you!